

Effects of Lower Atmospheric and Solar Forcings on Daytime Upper Atmospheric Dynamics

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

by

Fazlul Islam Laskar

(Roll No. 11330004)

Under the guidance of

Prof. Duggirala Pallamraju

Space and Atmospheric Sciences Division

Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India.



DISCIPLINE OF PHYSICS

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GANDHINAGAR

2014 - 2015

to

my family

Declaration

I declare that this written submission represents my ideas in my own words and where others' ideas or words have been included, I have adequately cited and referenced the original sources. I also declare that I have adhered to all principles of academic honesty and integrity and have not misrepresented or fabricated or falsified any idea/data/fact/source in my submission. I understand that any violation of the above can cause disciplinary action by the Institute and can also evoke penal action from the sources which have thus not been properly cited or from whom proper permission has not been taken when needed.

(Signature)

(Name: Fazlul Islam Laskar)

(Roll No: 11330004)

Date: February 25, 2015

Thesis Approval

The thesis entitled

Effects of Lower Atmospheric and Solar Forcings on Daytime Upper Atmospheric Dynamics

by

Fazlul Islam Laskar
(Roll No. 11330004)

is approved for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Examiner

Examiner

Supervisor

Chairman

Date: _____

Place: _____

Acknowledgments

This thesis is the result of research work carried out during 2009 to 2014 at Physical Research Laboratory (PRL), Ahmedabad. It gives me a great pleasure to acknowledge all those who supported me directly or indirectly and made this thesis possible.

First and foremost, I express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Prof. Duggirala Pallamraju for his guidance, patience, and instructions in the journey of this thesis. He not only provided me the freedom and confidence but also encouraged me to explore the world of new ideas. Whenever I felt that I have no other way to proceed, discussions with him opened up myriads of ways/ideas for further steps. I am indebted to him for providing me countless opportunities, unending support, and freedom of independent thinking in all these five years.

I thank Prof. J. N. Goswami, the Director; Mr. Y. M. Trivedi, the Registrar; Prof. A. Joshipura, former Dean; and Prof. U. Sarkar, present Dean for providing necessary facilities to carry out my research work.

I am highly obliged to Prof. R. Sekar for his suggestions and critical comments which helped me to improve scientific outcomes. The fruitful discussions with him always helped me to improve the quality of the scientific results and manuscripts. I would like to thank Dr. Varun Sheel who has evaluated reports and suggested improvements as a member of the Doctoral Studies Committee (DSC) along with Prof. R. Sekar.

I am grateful to Dr. D. Chakrabarty for our scientific discussions during tea, lunch, and at anytime whenever I went to his office with any problem. I also would like to thank Dr. Smitha Thampi for discussions.

I feel very happy to acknowledge Prof. R. Sridharan for the scientific discussions. Particularly, his encouraging comments and critical questions during seminars were very helpful during my research.

I thank Dr. J. S. Ray, Dr. D. Angom, Dr. D. Banerjee, Dr. N. Mahajan, Dr. R. Rangarajan, Dr. S. Naik, Dr. Varun Sheel, Prof. R. Ramachandran, Dr. D. Chakrabarty, Mr. T. Sarvaiya, Dr. H. Mishra and Prof. K. P. Subramanian for teaching the courses during my course work at PRL which turned out to be very useful in my research work. My sincere thanks to Prof. J Banerji for teaching me communications skill. I thank all the staff members of PRL computer center for providing excellent computational and internet facilities. In addition, I express my appreciation for the co-operation and help extended by the library and its staff members, in general, and Mrs. Nistha Anilkumar, in particular.

I am thankful to all my laboratory/group colleagues, R. P. Singh, Kedar, R.

Narayanan, and Deepak for their company, being friendly and co-operative during this research journey. I will always remain grateful to them for the discussions. In particular, I am very grateful to R. P. Singh and Kedar for their patience in listening to my practices and reading some of my first drafts of papers and thesis chapters. Our academic and non-academic discussions have made my stay enjoyable in lab. I have learned a lot about different fields in which my peers are working. I would like to thank some of the seasonal colleagues, Parshv Shah, Suneel Krishna, Keval Patel for their company and help.

I thank my seniors/colleagues, Uma Das, Sumanta Sarkel, and Amitava Guharay, for their help and discussions. I would like to acknowledge the help of Mala for introducing to me the GPS-based TEC, RINEX data and for many scientific and non-scientific discussions. She has always been there with me during my good and sad days of PhD life and encouraged me like a true friend.

I express my sincere thanks to all the faculty and staff members of Space and Atmospheric Sciences Division: Prof. Harish Chandra, Prof. H.S.S. Sinha, Prof. S.P. Gupta, Prof. Shyam Lal, Prof. S.A. Haider, Prof. S. Ramachandran, Dr. Varun Sheel, Dr. Lokesh Sahu, Prof. K. P. Subramaniam, Dr. Bhas Bapat, Dr. Som Kumar Sharma, Dr. Y. B. Acharya, Dr. B. Sivaraman, Dr. S. B. Banerjee, Mr. M. B. Dadhanian, Mr. S. Venkataramani, Mr. T. A. Rajesh, Mr. Atul Manke, and Mr. Prashant Kumar for their valuable comments and suggestions. I also thank Mr. Mitesh Bhavsar, Mr. T. K. Sunil Kumar, Raza, and Sneha for their help. I thank Dr. R. D. Deshpande for his encouragements.

I am also thankful to Arvind, Amrendra, Chinmay, Kaushik, Naveen, Ashim, Dipti, Sneha, Lijo, and Kuldeep for their help and support. I also would like to thank Dr. S. Sunda of Airport Authority of India for his help and discussions.

My special thanks and gratitude goes to my batchmates Shashi, Tanushree, Devagnik, and Kabitri. Their company is unforgettable. Special thanks to Shashi for his continued help. In particular, his help during course work and many computer related issues from software to hardware is immense. In fact, I learned 'abc' to 'xxx' of linux, \LaTeX , etc., from him. He has been a constant source of information for me, both in physics and in daily life.

I am thankful to the Vice Chancellor, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad (JNTUH) and all supporting staff members of JNTUH during the installation and maintenance of the Optical Aeronomy Laboratory in JNTUH. I thank Prof. M. Anji Reddy, Dr. T.V. Lakshmi, Srikanth, Hema, Sambha, Vijay for their help.

I would like to keep on record my sincere gratitude to (late) Prof. R. Raghavarao for initiating the PRL-JNTUH collaborative work. I was fortunate to be a part of

discussions with him and Prof. P. B. Rao on many occasions. I also would like to acknowledge the discussions with Prof. K. Shiokawa (Japan), Prof. Fuller-Rowell (USA), Prof. S. Chakrabarti (USA), Prof. L. Goncharenko (USA), and Prof. D. Pancheva (Bulgaria). The foreign travel support on three occasions from SCOSTEP is also duly acknowledged.

I would like to thank Prof. Sudhir Jain, the Director; Prof. Amit Prashant, the Dean; Prof. B. Datta, the associate Dean; and the academic section of Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar for the support and help. Special thanks to Mr. Piyush for his prompt response and help during registration.

This acknowledgment section remains incomplete if there is no mention of my wonderful seniors and friends who were always there to support and help during my stay in PRL hostel. Seniors Arun, Amrendra, Abhishek, Sunil, Siddharth, Koushik, Chinmay, Sushant, Yogita and Vema have helped me to keep my loneliness away from me. In particular the ‘adda’ with Arun, Kaushik, Amrendra, Sunil, Chinmay, Sushant is unforgettable. I am also thankful to Waliur Rahman, Ashwini Jha, Ritesh, Arvind Singh, Pankaj, Vineet, Neeraj, Prashant, Bhaswar, Sandeep, Vimal, Ketan, Sudhanwa, Suman, Srikanth, Naveen Chauhan, Naveen Gandhi, Sumita, Suchita, Satinder, Rohit for making my stay comfortable. In addition, I express my sincere thanks to my dear juniors Avdhesh, Damu, Gangi, Lekshmy, Midhun, Anjali, Arko, Bhavya, Dillip, Gaurav, Girish C., Girish K., Gulab, Monojit, Priyanka, Tanmoy C., Tanmay M., Yashpal, Dinesh, Upendra, Wageesh, Arun, Gaurava, Abhaya, Anirban, Guru, Ikshu, Kuldeep, Manu, Shrad-dha, Alok, Sanjay, Bivin, Apurv, Dipti, Jinia, Lalit, Rahul, Chandana, Newton, Pankaj, Venky, Chandan, Hemant, Venkata, Navpreet, Prahlad, Satish, Rukmani, Rupa, Yasir, Ali, Jabir, Komal, Manish, Vaidehi, Sneha, Upasana and several others who made my stay in PRL pleasant wonderful experience.

I also would like to acknowledge “Google” for help in various types of web searching. I also would like to acknowledge open-source packages like Ubuntu, Firefox, TexStudio, GIMP, InkScape, etc., which had made my work simpler.

I am grateful to NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, CO, USA, for the NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis data. The RINEX format GPS observational and navigational data are obtained from the International GNSS Service (IGS) network.

My sincere thanks to my teachers Prof. R. Bhattacharjee, Prof. A. K. Sen, Dr. A. Deshmukhya, Dr. B. I. Sharma, and Dr. H. S. Das of Assam University for their excellent teaching. Specially, I am grateful to Dr. A. Deshmukhiya for her encouragement and friendly advices. I also would like to thank my college teacher Abhijit Nath and high school teacher Abdul Odud for their help, support, and encouragements. Special thanks to my friends Nazmul, Suhail, Boloki,

Moumita, Golam, Atiqur and others for their constant support and encouragements.

I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to my cousin Amzad for teaching me first lesson of physics and his constant support and encouragement throughout my career. I express my gratitude to my maternal uncles Kabir and Saleh for their encouragements.

Last, but not the least, I express my indebtedness, love, and gratitude to my parents and family. Words cannot express my gratitude for my parents, my maternal aunt, my cousins and my family members.

Fazlul Laskar

Abstract

The upper atmosphere of the Earth is influenced by incoming solar radiation (UV, EUV, and X-rays) and by secondary effects like waves from the lower atmosphere. The EUV radiation is absorbed above about 100 km altitude of the Earth's surface by atomic and molecular constituents resulting in their excitation to higher energy states. These excited species while returning to their respective ground states give rise to radiations, which are called dayglow (or daytime airglow). Chemically excited atmospheric species can also contribute to dayglow emissions. The intensity of these dayglow emissions depends on the number densities of the reactants and on the temperature. The distribution in densities of the reactants can be affected by the waves, thereby leading to the variations in the intensities of the dayglow emissions. Thus, the dayglow measurements provide an effective means to investigate the upper atmospheric dynamics, which are influenced by both solar flux variations and lower atmospheric processes.

Solar activity changes due to its internal dynamics giving rise to variations of different periods ranging from hours to years. The lower atmospheric waves are excited by topography, convection, etc., and in the presence of stable atmosphere they can propagate to the upper atmospheric altitudes. In this study we characterize various types of coupling processes in the atmosphere and their variations with waves and solar activity. The main data set that has been used in this work has been retrieved using Multiwavelength Imaging Spectrograph using Echelle-grating (MISE). MISE is a unique instrument capable of obtaining daytime sky spectra at high-spectral resolutions over a large field-of-view. From such spectra of MISE oxygen dayglow emission intensities at 557.7 nm, 630.0 nm, and 777.4 nm wavelengths have been obtained. In addition to oxygen dayglow emission intensities, data sets of ionospheric total electron content (TEC), zonal mean winds and temperatures from the stratosphere to the lower thermosphere, and the equatorial electrojet (EEJ) strengths have been used.

In this thesis, it has been shown that the lower atmospheric influence on the upper atmosphere through waves is affected by solar activity. This is because the latter is responsible for the alteration of the atmospheric background condi-

tions on which wave propagation and dissipation depend. From an investigation of the oscillations of planetary wave regime in dayglow and other atmospheric parameters at three different levels of solar activity, it has been shown that the vertical coupling of atmospheres through these waves is solar activity dependent. It is proposed that: (i) the effect on upper atmospheric dynamics due to lower atmosphere exists at least until the average sunspot number (SSN) is ≤ 35 , (ii) there is a transition from the lower atmospheric forcing to mixed behavior between average SSNs of 35 to 52, and (iii) another transition from mixed effects to those of purely solar origin occurs between SSN values of 52 to 123. Further, in this thesis it has also been shown that even during high solar activity period if a sudden stratospheric warming (SSW) event occurs then the vertical coupling is enhanced, as the SSW related processes provide additional energy to enable this coupling.

A statistical study of gravity waves present in the thermospheric altitudes is made using the three dayglow emissions and the EEJ strength data obtained during the years 2011 to 2013. The gravity waves are found to be present in higher numbers in the thermosphere during higher solar activity of 2013 compared to 2011, which is attributed to a reduction in dissipation in the lower thermosphere during higher solar activity epoch.

Investigations using long-term data sets of EEJ and TEC revealed that the vertical coupling during SSW events depends on the strength of the SSW. Also, the interaction between quasi-16-day planetary waves and semi-diurnal tides has been found to be very strong for the strong major SSW events. In another result, using both ground- and satellite-based optical remote sensing measurements, a new circulation cell in the mesosphere-thermosphere system has been shown to exist during SSW events, which has been alluded to in previous modeling studies.

Keywords: Atmospheric coupling, Dayglow, Ionosphere, Upper atmosphere, Sudden stratospheric warming, Sun-Earth interaction, Gravity waves, Planetary waves.

Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	v
Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Atmospheric Structure and Nomenclature	2
1.3 Atmospheric Waves	3
1.3.1 Gravity Waves	5
1.3.2 Planetary Waves	12
1.3.3 Tidal Waves	15
1.4 Sudden Stratospheric Warming	18
1.5 Atmospheric Coupling	23
1.5.1 Vertical Coupling	23
1.5.2 Ionospheric E- and F-Region Coupling	25
1.5.3 Thermosphere Ionosphere Coupling	28
1.5.4 Latitudinal Coupling	30
1.6 Solar Influence on the Upper Atmosphere	30
1.7 Summary	32
1.8 Aim of the Thesis	33

1.9	Overview of the Thesis	33
2	Experimental Techniques and Data	35
2.1	Introduction	35
2.2	Brief Background on Dayglow Measurements	36
2.3	Production Mechanisms of Dayglow	39
2.3.1	OI 557.7 nm or Oxygen Green Line	40
2.3.2	OI 630.0 nm or Oxygen Red Line	43
2.3.3	OI 777.4 nm Line	45
2.4	Multiwavelength Imaging Spectrograph using Echelle grating (MISE)	46
2.4.1	Components of MISE	46
2.4.2	Commissioning of MISE at Field Station	53
2.4.3	Calibration of MISE	54
2.4.4	Dayglow Emission Intensity Extraction	58
2.4.5	Error Estimation in Dayglow Signals	62
2.4.6	Validation of Emission Intensities Obtained by MISE . . .	64
2.5	Other Datasets	66
2.5.1	Equatorial Electrojet (EEJ)	66
2.5.2	Total Electron Content (TEC)	67
2.5.3	TIDI Wind and SABER Temperature	71
2.6	Summary	73
3	Time Series Analysis Methods	75
3.1	Introduction	75
3.2	Time Series Analysis	76
3.2.1	Fourier Analysis	76
3.2.2	Lomb-Scargle Analysis	78
3.2.3	Wavelet Analysis	83
3.3	General Discussion	91
3.4	Summary	93
4	Dependence of Vertical Coupling on Solar Activity	95
4.1	Background	95

4.2	Longer Period Waves (Planetary-Scale)	96
4.2.1	Introduction	96
4.2.2	Data Set	98
4.2.3	Results and Discussion	100
4.2.4	Conclusion: Longer Period Waves	111
4.3	Shorter Period Waves (Gravity Waves)	112
4.3.1	Introduction	112
4.3.2	Data Description	115
4.3.3	Results and Discussion	117
4.3.4	Conclusion: Shorter Period Waves	124
4.4	Summary	125
5	Dependence of Vertical Coupling on Strength of SSW	127
5.1	Background	127
5.2	Data Set	132
5.3	Analysis Methodology	133
5.4	Results and Discussion	135
5.5	Summary	141
6	Mesosphere-Thermosphere Circulation During SSW	143
6.1	Background	143
6.2	SSW Events in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013	145
6.3	Measurements and Observations	146
6.4	Results and Discussion	150
6.5	Summary	158
7	Summary and Future Scope	159
7.1	Summary	159
7.2	Future Scope	162
	Bibliography	165
	List of Publications	189

Publications Attached with Thesis

193

List of Figures

1.1	Classifications of the atmosphere	3
1.2	Types of waves in the atmosphere	4
1.3	Dispersion diagram of gravity waves	8
1.4	Stability conditions in terms of temperature distribution.	10
1.5	The non-linear wave breaking scheme	11
1.6	Perturbation vorticity field and induced velocity field	13
1.7	Laboratory simulation of Rossby waves	14
1.8	Schematic of the atmospheric/oceanic lunar-tide	16
1.9	Barometric variation at equatorial- and mid-latitudes	16
1.10	Hough functions for semi-diurnal and diurnal tides	19
1.11	NCEP/NCAR reanalysis arctic temperature & wind at 10 hPa . .	21
1.12	Seasonal variation of arctic stratospheric temperatures at 10 hPa .	21
1.13	Occurrence time of SSW events as a function of DOY	22
1.14	Schematic of wave dynamics in the atmosphere	24
1.15	Zonally averaged temperature anomalies	25
1.16	Schematic of coupling between E and F regions	26
1.17	Simulated electron density contours	27
1.18	Ionogram with spread-F	29
1.19	Sunspot number variation during solar cycle 23 and 24	31
1.20	Ionospheric and thermospheric parameters w.r.t. solar activity . .	32
2.1	Oxygen energy level diagram	40
2.2	OI 557.7 nm volume emission rate observed by WINDII	43
2.3	Schematic of MISE	47

2.4	Chromatic aberration in lenses	49
2.5	Description of echelle grating	49
2.6	Simulation of diffraction orders w.r.t. wavelength	51
2.7	Ray-trace simulation of relative positions of different wavelengths	52
2.8	Observational locations over Indian longitudes	53
2.9	Viewing arrangement for MISE at JNTUH	54
2.10	Sample spectral image formed by MISE	55
2.11	Flat field correction	56
2.12	Spatial/view angle calibration	57
2.13	Viewing geometry of MISE	57
2.14	Details of dayglow emission extraction method	60
2.15	The scattered sky background from MISE	63
2.16	Comparison of measured dayglow emission intensity with empirical and GLOW model estimates	65
3.1	Fourier spectrum of hourly values of EEJ	77
3.2	Lomb-Scargle periodogram of EEJ with data gaps	82
3.3	Box representation of time and frequency resolution of STFT . . .	84
3.4	Description of Morlet wavelet	86
3.5	Morlet daughter wavelets with different scales and translations . .	86
3.6	Box representation of time and frequency resolutions for Fourier, STFT, and wavelet transforms	87
3.7	An example of wavelet power spectrum	91
3.8	An example of frequency aliasing	92
4.1	Standard score of TEC from southern and northern crest	100
4.2	The daily dayglow emission intensities at three wavelengths	101
4.3	Daily values of dayglow, peak-EEJ, TEC, SSN, and SZA	102
4.4	Daily-averaged OI 630.0 nm dayglow emissions obtained from Chile	103
4.5	Periodograms of atmospheric parameters obtained at different al- titudes and of SSN	105
4.6	Wavelet spectra of the parameters seen in Figure 4.5	108

4.7	Dayglow emission intensities during the winters of 2011 to 2013	116
4.8	SSN and DST index during the winters of 2011 to 2013	116
4.9	An example of data analysis methods used	118
4.10	Statistics of periodicities obtained for all wavelengths	119
4.11	Modeled gravity wave drag	120
4.12	Statistics of periodicities in EEJ	121
5.1	ΔH variations over Trivandrum	129
5.2	Daytime vertical $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drifts measured over Jicamarca	130
5.3	Hourly-binned daily values of EEJ & its periodograms	134
5.4	Contour plot of Lomb-Scargle periodograms of EEJ & TEC	136
5.5	Inter-relationship of ΔT , SSN, and power of quasi-16-day periods in EEJ and TEC	138
5.6	Amplitudes of semidiurnal tides and quasi-16-day waves in EEJ	140
6.1	Variations of F10.7 index and arctic winds & temperatures at 10 hPa	145
6.2	Oxygen dayglow emission intensity variations during SSW events	147
6.3	Enhancements in low-latitude OI dayglow during SSW events	148
6.4	Variations in daily averaged dayglow and lower thermospheric tem- perature & wind	150
6.5	Zonal mean TIDI measured meridional wind	154
6.6	Model simulation showing enhancement in oxygen density over low-latitudes during SSW	156
6.7	Schematic of the proposed meridional circulation in mesosphere- thermosphere during SSW	157

List of Tables

1.1	Some of the important waves present in the atmosphere of the Earth.	5
2.1	Characteristics of MISE.	48
4.1	The total number of occurrences of gravity waves with frequencies (or periods) greater than 0.33 hr^{-1} (less than 3 hrs.) and less than that of Brunt-Väisälä frequency at that altitude.	122
4.2	Percentage occurrences per bin in the given frequency ranges . . .	123
5.1	Some of the atmospheric and solar parameters during the years 2005-2013	132