STUDIES ON HIGH CURRENT ELECTRON RINGS IN A TOROIDAL DEVICE

by

D. CHENNA REDDY

A THESIS
SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE
OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

OF THE GUJARÄT UNIVERSITY

SEPTEMBER 1988

PHYSICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
AHMEDABAD 380 009
INDIA

043



TO MY PARENTS

CERTIFICATE

I hereby declare that the work presented in this thesis is original and has not formed the basis for the research any degree or diploma by any University or Institution.

Ö. Chenna Reddy.
D. Chenna Reddy

Certified by :

P.I.John

Professor-in-charge

Place: Ahmedabad

Date : September 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank my thesis advisor Prof. P.I.John for his able guidance and constant support throughout my thesis work. It had been a great experience to learn from him. From the beginning of this work, his constant vigilance and supervision with a right amount of academic freedom had helped me in gaining confidence to do the quite complex experiments.

I thank Prof. P.K.Kaw for his keen interest in my work and progress. I am grateful to his enthusiastic support which had been a source of inspiration throughout the course of my thesis work. I am thankful to Prof. Y.C.Saxena, for his encouragement and constructive criticism. I express my gratitude to him for allowing me to work on the computer after office hours and on holidays. I also thank Prof. A.Sen and Prof. A.K.Sundaram for their interest and encouragement.

I thank Dr. K.K.Jain for helping me learn many useful techniques related to my doctoral work, particularly during the initial stages of the work. I also thank Dr. Dhiraj and Dr. Venkat for their encouragement and support throughout the work. I am thankful to Dr. Chitra for her interest in my work. I thank the other academic staff of IPR for their cooperation.

During the data analysis, Dr. Sethia had helped me in overcoming many problems in using the data analysis and plotting software (DAPS). I thank him for being available

whenever I was in need. Digitization work was done in SAC computer centre. I thank Dr. Prakash and Dr. Sharma of SAC for allowing me to use their digitizer and computer and my friend Gopala Krishna who helped me in digitizing the oscillograms.

I sincerely thank the staff of workshop, library, drafting section, electronics lab, office and purchase who provided necessary help with least possible delay. I am also thankful to Pathak, Bhatt, Lali, Pujara, Sivanarayana, Korde and Shaikh for their assistance during the first assembly of BETA.

I am thankful to Suthar and others of PRL who assisted me during the fabrication of Tesla transformer. My sincere thanks are due to Kurup and Shivasankaran of PRL glassblowing section for the help rendered by them. I thank the staff of PRL library for their co-operation.

My special thanks are due to Satyanarayana, Madanlal, Mohandas and Sarada Sree for being ready to help me during the experiments and after, whenever I needed it.

During the course work in the first year, Amarendra, Subramanyan, Ashok and Meenakshi had provided a nice and friendly atmosphere. I am grateful to them.

I am fortunate in having friends like Viju, Deshpande, Sharadini, Puravi, Ajay, Jitesh, Bevli and Ganesh Prasad who were ever ready to provide any kind of assistance that I needed. I am thankful to all of them. I thank Dey and Dwivedi for their help in proof reading.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance

received from PRL and IPR.

Finally, I am grateful to my wife who had shown remarkable patience and understanding. I thank her for her encouragement and support throughout the course of this thesis, which contributed in no less way in completion of the work. I am indebted to my sons Sekhar and Harsha whose playfulness provided necessary relief which helped me relax from the day's strenuous work.

The Thesis was prepared on IBM PC and was typed by Mr.Sourabhan. I am thankful to him for his quick and neat typing of the thesis.

ABSTRACT

In this thesis, we report, studies on high current electron rings formed by injecting a Relativistic Electron Beam (REB) into a toroidal device filled with plasma. These studies are motivated to understand the dynamics of beam injection and trapping. Another important objective is to study the plasma heating by REB in a toroidal device, where only limited experimental data is available.

An REB generator based on Tesla transformer high voltage source was fabricated for these studies. Plasma was injected into the torus with the help of a gas injected, washer stack plasma gun. Beam was injected into a pre-formed plasma. Beam injection was studied in two different plasma densities, characterized by operating the plasma gun with two different pulse forming net works.

When beam was injected into low density ($\approx 5 \times 10^{12}~{\rm cm}^{-3}$) plasma, it was found that the beam was lost by hitting the injector from the back after one toroidal transit. No net toroidal current was observed in this case. However in the case of injection into a high density ($\approx 10^{13}~{\rm cm}^{-3}$) plasma, the beam drifted inward and cleared the injector and was trapped. A net toroidal current ($\approx 5~{\rm kA}$) was generated in this case.

Temporally and spatially resolved measurements with miniature Faraday cups and small Rogowski coils showed that

beam trapping was due to a fast return current decay. The return current decay times calculated from ion-acoustic turbulence were found to be consistent with the observed net current rise time. At low plasma densities, where no trapping was observed, the condition for exciting the ion-acoustic turbulence was not satisfied.

Localized measurements of the poloidal field were done which indicated a net current channel moving radially inward with no observable vertical motion. This was found to be consistent with the drift injection-energy loss mechanism proposed for beam trapping. Wall fields were found to significantly influence the current profile calculations from the poloidal field profiles.

To understand the plasma heating process, localized measurements of δB_t (change in toroidal field) were done. It was found that in a toroidal experiment, use of a diamagnetic loop to measure plasma temperature would be inadequate. Localized measurements not only eliminated the uncertainties involved in using a diamagnetic loop but also gave the time evolution of the beam-plasma system. From these measurements it was found that about 50% of the beam energy appears as plasma perpendicular energy and the heating was mainly due to return current dissipation.

The q profiles obtained from the magnetic field measurements indicated that the system goes into a low q state as time progresses.

The beam goes into an equilibrium position from the launch position without applying any vertical field. The

equilibrium therefore is maintained by wall fields, indicating a force free equilibrium of the current ring. The beam energies calculated from the observed net currents and shift of the current channel were found to be consistent with the beam energy transfer observed from diamagnetic measurements.

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Schematic illustration of the experimental	23
	arrangement	
2.2	Top view of the vacuum vessel with T.F. coils	24
2.3	Charging and discharging circuit for T.F. coils	28
2.4	Waveform of the T.F. coil current	28
2.5	Plasma gun	31
2.6	Equivalent circuit of Tesla transformer	34
2.7	Tesla transformer secondary voltage wave forms	40
	(a) When the secondary spark gap did not fire	
	(b) When the secondary spark gap fired	
2.8	Copper sulphate solution resistance	45
2.9	Miniature Faraday cup	47
2.10	Rogowski coil	47
2.11	Triggering sequence	50
	(a) Time delays between various triggerings	
	(b) T.F., Plasma gun and beam generator firing	
	sequence in the experiment	
3.1	Plasma gun discharge current	53
	(a) Low power short pulse (LPSP)	
	(b) High power short pulse (HPSP)	
	(c) High power long pulse (HPLP)	
3.2	Ion saturation current	55
	(a) LPSP, (b) HPSP, (c) HPLP	
3.3	Radial profile of plasma density (HPSP)	56
3.4	Radial profile of plasma density (HPLP)	56
3.5	Time evolution of neutral gas pressure	58
	(a) Without firing the plasma gun	
	(b) With plasma gun firing	
3.6	Diode current (low density)	64
3.7	Diode current (high density)	64

3.8	Current collected by the Faraday cup (low density)	67
	(a) With a foil cover; (b) Without a foil cover	
3.9	Radial profile of the beam current (foil covered	68
	cup; low density)	68
3.10	Radial profile of the beam current (foilless cup;	
	low density)	77.1
3.11	Current collected by the Faraday cup (high density)	71
	(a) with a foil cover, (b) Without a foil cover	= 0
	Profile of the beam current density in front	72
	of the cathode (foil covered cup)	
3.13	(a) & (b) Time evolution of beam current profile	7.3
	in front of the cathode (foilless Faraday cup)	
3.14	Injected beam current vs. time	75
3.15	Injected charge (from Faraday cup measurements)	77
3.16	Injected charge (from diode current current	77
	measurement)	
3.17	Profile of the beam current at $\theta = 337.5^{\circ}$	79
	(low density)	
3,18	Net current near the cathode (low density)	79
3,19	Beam current profile near the cathode (high density)	81
3.20	Beam current profile at $\theta = 292.5^{\circ}$ (high density)	81
3.21	(a) & (b) Evolution of beam current profile (high	82
	density) at $\theta = 157.5^{\circ}$	
3.22	Total beam current as a function of time	84
3.23	Net current from small Rogowski coil	85
	(a) $R = 52.5$ cm; (b) $R = 45.0$ cm; (c) $R = 37.5$ cm	
3.24	Net current distribution in the poloidal cross-	87
	section	
3.25	Net current at 8 = 10°	90
3.26	Net current at θ = 202.5°	90
	Net current at θ = 202.5°	92
	(a) & (b) Oscillograms of net current with 5 μs RC	93

3.29	Rising part of the net current	95
3.30	Temporal evolution of (a) Beam current, (b) Retu	ırn 99
	current, (c) Net current in a linear system	
3.31	Temporal evolution of (a) Beam current, (b) Retu	ırn 100
	current, (c) Net current in a toroidal system	
3.32	Poloidal field in the mid plane	104
	(a) $R = 54.0 \text{ cm}$, (b) $R = 50.0 \text{ cm}$,	
	(c) $R = 46.0 \text{ cm}$, (d) $R = 38.0 \text{ cm}$	
3.33	(a) to (m) Time evolution of radial profile of	105-108
	poloidal field	
3.34	Poloidal field at R = 45.0 cm	110
	(a) $z = +6.0$ cm; (b) $z = -9.0$ cm	
3.35	(a) to (m) Time evolution of vertical profile	112-115
	of poloidal field	
3.36	(a) Poloidal field profile	119
	(b) Current profile (with assumption)	119
	(c) Current profile (without assumption)	119
3.37	(a) to (m) Time evolution of net current profile	121-124
3.38	Change in toroidal field, (δB_{t}) in the mid plane	130
	(a) $R = 58.0 \text{ cm}$, (b) $R = 54.0 \text{ cm}$	
	(c) $R = 50.0 \text{ cm}$, (d) $R = 46.0 \text{ cm}$	
3,39	(a) to (p) Time evolution of radial profile of	131-134
	δB_{t}	
3.40	(a) to (1) Time evolution of radial profile of	135-137
	$\delta B_{ extsf{t}}$	
3.41	δB_t at $R = 54.0$ cm and $z = 0$	138
3.47	(a) to (p) Time evolution of vertical profile	139-142
2 45	of δB _t	
J.4J	(a) to (1) Time evolution of vertical profile	143-145
	of 8Bt	
0.44	(a) to (f) Profiles of safety factor (a)	152157

CONTENTS

Ce	r++	÷	f	i	C	я	t,	~
٠, ٠,٠	1 1	- 1-	.A.	-1.	\	₹.Α	v	****

Acknowledgements

Abstract

List of figures

CHAPTER-I

	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Beam generation and propagation	3
1.2	Relativistic electron beam in a toroidal device	5
1.3	Injection and trapping of an REB in a toroidal	7
	device	
	1.3.1 Drift injection	8
	1.3.2 Divertor injection	11
	1.3.3 Injection into modified betatron	11
1.4	Review of the existing work	13
	1.4.1 Physics International (PI) and UCLA experi-	13
	ments	
	1.4.2 Cornell experiments	14
	1.4.3 IPP, Nogoya experiments	15
	1.4.4 Theoretical studies on beam equilibria	17
1.5	Scope of the thesis	18
	CHAPTER-II	
	EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE AND DIAGNOSTICS	22
2.1	BETA	22
	2.1.1 Vacuum system	22
	2.1.2 Magnetic field system	25

	2.1.3 Supporting structure	27
2.2	Plasma gun	30
2.3	REB generator	32
	2.3.1 Tesla transformer	33
	2.3.2 Pulse forming line (PFL)	38
	2.3.3 High voltage switch, vacuum transmission	38
	line and field emission diode	
2.4	Diagnostics	41
μ	2.4.1 Plasma density measurements	43
	2.4.2 Copper sulphate resistance	44
	2.4.3 Miniature Faraday cup	44
	2.4.4 Rogowski coils	44
	2.4.5 Magnetic probes	46
2.5	Grounding and shielding	48
2.6	Triggering sequence	49
	CHAPTER-III	
	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
3.1	Plasma pre-fill	51
3,2	Plasma anode operation	57
3.3	Characterization of the injected beam	65
	3.3.1 Beam injection into a low density plasma	65
	3.3.2 Beam injection into a high density plasma	66
3.4	Beam current and the evolution of electron ring	76
	3.4.1 Injection into low density plasma	78
	3.4.2 Injection into high density plasma	80
3.5	Net circulating current after beam injection	89
	3.5.1 Ring current	94
3.6	Self magnetic field of the beam and the net	103

	current profiles	
	3.6.1 Effect of wall currents on the calculation	117
	of current profiles	
	3.6.2 Net current profile and its evolution	120
3.7	Plasma diamagnetism	128
3.8	Profiles of the safety factor (q)	152
3.8	Equilibrium of the current ring	155
3.9	Comparison with other experiments	158
	CHAPTER IV	
	CONCLUSIONS	163
4.1	Conclusions	16
4.2	Suggestions for further studies	16
	REFERENCES	160